

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Tague
Given Name	Gillian
Person ID	1287433
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Tague
Given Name	Gillian
Person ID	1287433
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The whole area is totally saturated as it is now. Building more houses, especially the amount planned will basically make Mosley Common a nightmare.</p> <p>Pollution, traffic, no national health provision.</p> <p>Pollution currently around Mosley common road is unsafe. Cars and lorries constantly queue for long periods of time at all hours of the day throwing out fumes. To travel anywhere at certain times of the day can take a ridiculous amount of time, even getting off my drive can take 5-10 minutes at times. How all these new houses will exasperate this problem I dread to think. Yes we have a fancy new bus route but this is expensive , overcrowded and also doesn"t go where I need to travel to. Not everyone works in Manchester town centre.</p> <p>The proposal will mean local schools will be even more oversubscribed. I have heard talk that there is a proposal to enlarge St. John"s primary school on Mosley common road. How and where is a mystery. Surely enlarging this school will swallow up what little recreation space the children have- so basically more children, less space for them. Enlarging the school will no doubt have massive implications for the residents living directly and near the school either visually by the school being made larger and also by more parents fighting their way in the morning to get near the school to drop their children off and collection at home time. Already this is a huge problem as the school stands now and local residents often have drives blocked or experience selfish parking from parents. Not to mention the increase in volume of traffic on this busy road as they travel to the school.</p> <p>There are no dentists for local residents as it is and any that are nearby are oversubscribed and not taking on new patients. It literally is impossible to get to see a dentist.</p>

When poorly the effort it takes to get into our locally oversubscribed doctors for an appointment is like a military manoeuvre. If you are not on the phone at one second past 8am then you have no chance. How will 1100 house appease this.

There is discussion about modifying the junction of Mosley common and the east lincs road. The space available to try and achieve this is hardly of any use to impact the amount of traffic as it is now, with the new houses it will have no impact what so ever. Plus the disruption in doing this will no doubt cause us weeks of hell, longer traffic jams and not be worth it in the end.

The houses proposed will no doubt be the standard seen on parr bridge (old maxi lead site) cheap and nasty and thrown up in weeks however marketed at a ridiculous price so houses for everyone ☐..no not really.

In short Boothstown, Mosley common are close to becoming a carpark full of unhappy residents with no life work balance as they struggle to travel anywhere, with no where to walk as the small amount of precious green space we have is swallowed up.

To approve this plan would be criminal as it isn't in the interests of the residents of Mosley common, the plan is purely driven by greed. Greed of the planners and companies and individuals who will benefit from killing our village.

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.

There are no modifications that could make this plan compliant. The only way is to protect the green land we have and not build on it at all ever. We do not need new homes in this area.

I have listed many reasons as to why above but did not mention the most heartbreaking result of this dreadful plan ☐.. To destroy the green belt would be criminal. The abundance of wildlife might not be classed as rare or protected but surely just as important. Barn owls, red kites, sparrow hawks, kestrels. In summer swift's and swallows are regular visitors. Jays have treasure troves of acorns and seeds stashed underground in places in those fields that only they know. Deers have been spotted. Pheasants, bats, ducks use the stream in summer to cool down.

Once this has gone. It has gone. There will be no more seasons played out by the fauna and flora on the common. Fauna and flora that currently play an essential role in easing the pollution in an already ridiculously busy area. Once it's gone we just have a grey, dirty, polluted village. Full of traffic jams, parked cars and unhappy residents. I'm sure those that will line their pockets from this venture need not worry though. I suspect they live in a place with lots of green spaces and nice outlooks and I'm sure travelling isn't as traumatic when you are out in the sticks in a nice fancy car.

Leave Mosley Common alone.